

WASHINGTON, DC 20005

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/183,375	10/30/1998	JANOS SZEBENI	003/098/SAP 3056		
7590 01/13/2006			EXAMINER		
THOMAS G. WISEMAN			KISHORE, GOLLAMUDI S		
VENABLE, BA	ETJER, HOWARD &	CIVILETTI LLP			
SUITE 1000			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N. W.			1615		

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/183,375	SZEBENI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Gollamudi S. Kishore, Ph.D	1615	

	Gollamudi S. Kisnore, Ph.D	1013				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c e with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>22 December 2005</u> . A of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a) appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply <u>AMENDMENTS</u>), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 41.37(e)), to avoid	dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1:	-	ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		•	•			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:	Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6,10,16,17 and 20-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a			
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)				
		Gollamudi S Kisho Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1615	re, Ph.D			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The introduction of specific complement activation inhibitors in claims 1 and 22 changes the scope of the claims dependent on them which requires further consideration..

Gollamudi S. Kishore, PhD Primary Examiner Group 1500

L. Slaher